

Lana Nastić<sup>1</sup>Marko Jeločnik<sup>2</sup>Jonel Subić<sup>3</sup>

Institute of Agricultural Economics, Belgrade, Serbia

ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE

doi:10.5937/ekonomika1804069N

Received: November, 03, 2018

Accepted: December, 06, 2018

## CONTRIBUTION MARGIN IN SILAGE MAZE PRODUCTION<sup>4</sup>

### Abstract

*Silage maize as a fodder crop has been still produced in Republic of Serbia at insufficient surfaces, mostly at holdings focused to livestock production, providing on that way adequate volume of quality animal feed. In order to determine economic effects of production in paper is used analytical calculation based on variable costs, as well as method of critical values of production and sensitive analysis. Analysis covers three year period, involving comparison of gained results. Paper goal is to present the results achieved in mentioned production, as to evaluate the importance of yields height to gained contribution margin (positive contribution margin was determined within the complete period).*

**Key words:** silage maize production, contribution margin, variable costs, Serbia.

**JEL classification:** Q1, Q120

## МАРЖА ПОКРИЋА У ПРОИЗВОДЊИ СИЛАЖНОГ КУКУРУЗА

### Апстракт

*Кукуруз за силажу се зарад исхране стоке у Републици Србији још увек производи на недовољним површинама и то у већини случајева на газдинствима усмереним и на сточарску производњу, која на овај начин обезбеђују довољне количине квалитетне сточне хране. Да би се утврдили економски ефекти производње у раду је коришћена аналитичка калкулација на бази варијабилних трошкова, као и метод критичних вредности и метод сензитивне анализе. Анализа је вршена за временски период од три године, а накнадно је извршена и компарација остварених резултата. Циљ рада је да се прикажу резултати који се остварују у овој линији ратарске производње и утврди значај висине приноса*

<sup>1</sup> lana\_n@iep.bg.ac.rs

<sup>2</sup> marko\_j@iep.bg.ac.rs

<sup>3</sup> jonel\_s@iep.bg.ac.rs

<sup>4</sup> Acknowledgements - Paper is a part of research at the project no. III 46006 - Sustainable agriculture and rural development in terms of the Republic of Serbia strategic goals realization within the Danube region, financed by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.

на финансијски резултат производње (позитивна маржа покрића је остварена током читавог посматраног периода).

**Кључне речи:** производња силажног кукуруза, маржа покрића, варијабилни трошкови, Србија.

## Introduction

Maize has great economic importance as it is used for feeding cattle, in human nutrition and as input for production of large number of industrial products. It provides gaining of over 1,500 industrial products, primarily food products, pharmaceuticals, animal food products, cosmetic products, raw materials for further processing, etc. (Munćan, Živković, 2014).

The most of territory of Serbia has moderate continental climate (Sekulić et al., 2012), suitable for crop production. Unfortunately, in last decades national agriculture is facing with general deficit of rainfalls followed by high temperatures and much frequent and longer heat waves, that induce presence of high intensity droughts (Gulan, 2012). Besides, less than 3% of arable land is irrigated (RPKNS, 2017)

Traditionally, maize is the most grown crop in the Republic of Serbia. At national level, the largest areas under the maize are directed to grain production, while its production as silage maize is conducted at much smaller areas. So for animal feeding, grain is generally more used than silage. In 2017, under the grain maize there were 1,002,319 ha with total production of 4,018,370 tons and average yield of around 4.0 tons per hectare. On the other side, in same year silage maize was grown at 33,244 hectares (Table 1.).

*Table 1: Areas, total production and average yield per hectare of silage maize in the Republic of Serbia*

Year	Harvested areas (in ha)	Total production (in t)	Average yield (t/ha)
2008.	25,318	459,310	18.1
2009.	26,758	586,919	21.9
2010.	27,503	657,201	22.9
2011.	30,157	655,618	21.1
2012.	47,927	736,943	14.9
2013.	32,418	693,258	20.7
2014.	32,143	617,447	19.2
2015.	34,046	589,166	17.3
2016.	30,524	650,741	21.3
2017.	33,244	534,521	16.1

*Source: SORS, 2018.*

The average yield of silage maize in Serbia is generally at low level and during the period 2008-2017 it ranged from 14.9 to 22.9 t/ha. The lowest yield was achieved in 2012 that was characterized by extreme drought. Last decades, as the consequence of global warming, it came to certain changes in climate, where the hot issue become the lack and bad distribution of rainfalls during the vegetation period. Šimunić and associates (2007) were analysed the needs of several crops for water within the region, as well as the problems related to their irrigation. Among observed crops was silage maize too. Some conclusion goes in a way that silage corn shows the highest deficit for water during the July.

As state Živić and associates (2016) in Serbia in areas where maize is grown in average is missing up to 200 mm of rainfalls. Lack of water has unfavourable impact both to the development of plants and achieved yields. Possibility of appliance of agro-technical measure of irrigation in maize is also observed by Jeločnik (2017).

"In line to genetic potential and available agro ecological conditions of production, yield of silage maize ranges 12-25 tons of total dry matter per hectare within the phase of physiological maturity for silaging with the dry matter content of 35-42%" (Terzić et al., 2012).

During the selection of silage maize hybrids, it is necessary to know the quality parameters important for later gaining of maize silage, such are "the yield of dry matter from complete plant, the share of cobs in dry matter, content of fibres in acid and neutral detergent, as in vitro digestibility" (Radosavljević et al., 2005).

According to analysis of production costs in crop production in Vojvodina and their comparison in various years it was concluded that agrarian policy should enable stabile business conditions, as unstable economic conditions have negative impacts to primary agricultural production, as in such a this environment producers cannot make a proper business decisions (Bošnjak, Rodić, 2010).

Economic effects of production of various crops or production of one crop by different production intensity could be compared according to analytical calculation based on variable costs (Ivanović, Jeločnik, 2016; Jeločnik et al., 2013).

Production of silage maize in Serbia is mostly organized by those producers that are focused to livestock production. They usually use the silage of whole plant for cattle feeding. In addition to concentrated feed, silage maize represents significant feed in livestock production at ruminants. In this way, heads are approaching to more quality feed in order to achieve better production results. But, as states Orović (2017) advancements in the area of agricultural production that happened in last 10-20 years, are still insufficient for greater progress, so it should continue with activities on racial composition of domestic animals, conditions of their breeding and care, adequate nutrition, improvement in crop, fodder and fruit plants growing, etc.

## Methodology and data sources

Research was based on data gained from family agricultural holdings oriented to crop and livestock production, located in Mačva District. Whole production of silage maize (silage of complete plant) is used in animal nutrition at the holdings. Data are collected for three production years (period 2015-2017). Main research goal

is presentation of economic effects in silage maize production, as well as yields and variable costs impact on the height of contribution margin. Besides gained producers data, secondary data of national Statistical Office, scientific and professional literature focused on research theme was also used. Better analysis is provided by presentation of all results with tables, expressed in RSD and EUR per ha of production surfaces.

Calculation of contribution margin in production of certain crop culture considers the totally gained incomes by the production of certain culture subtracted for totally generated variable costs (Subić, Jeločnik, 2016). Generally, variable cost in crop production involves: seeds, fertilizers, pesticides, fuels and lubricants or external services of mechanization, engaged labour, etc. (Subić et al., 2010).

According to significant impact of yield and price oscillation of products and main inputs on gained financial results, there are justified requirements for analysis of production results in conditions of uncertainty. Most common method for that purpose is determination of critical production values (equalizing of contribution margin to zero): critical price, critical yield and critical variable costs. In same manner it will be used the method of sensitivity analysis, which follows the trend of change in contribution margin due to decrease in yield or sales price, or due to growth of variable costs of production (Nastić et al., 2014).

## Results with discussion

Calculation of silage maize production was made according to data collected from the production of maize hybrid AS 72, used for silage preparation from the whole plant. Mentioned hybrid is also used for the grain production, but in case it is used for the production of silage, larger volume of seed per unit of production area (for 10%) is sown. Research considers only one maize hybrid in order to eliminate the impact of different varieties on the amount of gained incomes and incurred costs. In next tables (Table 2., 3. and 4.) are presented calculations based on variable costs in silage maize production. Within the analysed period the highest incomes were generated in 2016., mostly initiated by the volume of achieved yields of 45.000 kg/ha.

*Table 2: Calculation of silage maize production in 2015.*

Element	Quantity	UM	Price (RSD)/ UM	Total RSD/ha	Total EUR/ ha
<b>A. Incomes</b>					
Silage maize	35.000,00	kg	5,00	175.000,00	1.449,52
Subsidies				12.000,00	99,40
<b>Value of production (total A)</b>				<b>187.000,00</b>	<b>1.548,91</b>
<b>B. Variable costs</b>					
Seed	2,50	kg	4.000,00	10.000,00	82,83
Mineral fertilizers				28.800,00	238,55
Pesticides				2.100,00	17,39
Costs of mechanization				31.600,00	261,74
Other costs				650,00	5,38
<b>Variable costs (total B)</b>				<b>73.150,00</b>	<b>605,90</b>
<b>C. Contribution margin (A-B)</b>				<b>113.850,00</b>	<b>943,01</b>

Besides the production value, in generation of total income the public subsidies for plant production provided by the Ministry of Agriculture has been also participated. This sum in mentioned period had decreasing trend, from 12.000 RSD/ha in 2015., to 4.000 RSD/ha in 2016., or 2.000 RSD/ha in 2017. (MPŠV, 2016).

*Table 3: Calculation of silage maize production in 2016.*

Element	Quantity	UM	Price (RSD)/ UM	Total RSD/ ha	Total EUR/ha
<b>A. Incomes</b>					
Silage maize	45000,00	kg	5,00	225.000,00	1.827,49
Subsidies				4.000,00	32,49
<b>Value of production (total A)</b>				<b>229.000,00</b>	<b>1.859,97</b>
<b>B. Variable costs</b>					
Seed	2,50	kg	4.150,00	10.375,00	84,27
Mineral fertilizers				29.400,00	238,79
Pesticides				3.100,00	25,18
Costs of mechanization				31.220,00	253,57
Other costs				720,00	5,85
<b>Variable costs (total B)</b>				<b>74.815,00</b>	<b>607,66</b>
<b>C. Contribution margin (A-B)</b>				<b>154.185,00</b>	<b>1.252,31</b>

Within the observed period, the highest contribution margin was gained in 2016., in amount of 154.185,00 RSD/ha, or 1.252,31 EUR/ha. In both other years, the value of contribution margin is at almost the same level, approximately around 950,00 EUR/ha. On the level of the contribution margin, or its change in observed period, the greatest impact had the achieved yield of grown crop, that was the highest in 2016. Such results are primarily caused by the weather conditions appeared in analysed period, as there were no significant changes in the applied agro-technical measures.

*Table 4: Calculation of silage maize production in 2017.*

Element	Quantity	UM	Price (RSD)/ UM	Total RSD/ ha	Total EUR/ ha
<b>A. Incomes</b>					
Silage maize	35.000,00	kg	5,00	175.000,00	1.442,23
Subsidies				2.000,00	16,48
<b>Value of production (total A)</b>				<b>177.000,00</b>	<b>1.458,71</b>
<b>B. Variable costs</b>					
Seed	2,50	kg	3.800,00	9.500,00	78,29
Mineral fertilizers				9.600,00	79,12
Pesticides				4.350,00	35,85
Costs of mechanization				38.100,00	313,99
Other costs				720,00	5,93
<b>Variable costs (total B)</b>				<b>62.270,00</b>	<b>513,19</b>
<b>C. Contribution margin (A-B)</b>				<b>114.730,00</b>	<b>945,52</b>

Within the structure of variable costs the highest share has mechanization costs. In all years their share is above 40%, and the highest is in 2017 (61,18% of total variable costs).

The costs of mineral fertilizers and pesticides are shown in Table 5.

*Table 5: Costs of mineral fertilizers and pesticides*

Element	Quantity	UM	Price (RSD)/UM	Total RSD/ha	Total EUR/ha
<b>2015.</b>					
<b>Mineral fertilizers (total)</b>				<b>28.800,00</b>	<b>238,55</b>
NPK (15:15:15)	300,00	kg	48,00	14.400,00	119,27
KAN	400,00	kg	36,00	14.400,00	119,27
<b>Pesticides (total)</b>				<b>2.100,00</b>	<b>17,39</b>
Motivel	1,00	l	2.100,00	2.100,00	17,39
<b>2016.</b>					
<b>Mineral fertilizers (total)</b>				<b>29.400,00</b>	<b>238,79</b>
NPK (15:15:15)	300,00	kg	50,00	15.000,00	121,83
KAN	400,00	kg	36,00	14.400,00	116,96
<b>Pesticides (total)</b>				<b>3.100,00</b>	<b>25,18</b>
Siran	2,0000	kg	850,00	1.700,00	13,81
Rezon	2,0000	l	700,00	1.400,00	11,37
<b>2017.</b>					
<b>Mineral fertilizers (total)</b>				<b>9.600,00</b>	<b>79,12</b>
KAN	300,00	kg	32,00	9.600,00	79,12
<b>Pesticides (total)</b>				<b>4.350,00</b>	<b>35,85</b>
Basar	1,50	kg	2.000,00	3.000,00	24,72
Rezon	1,50	l	900,00	1.350,00	11,13

Besides the cost of mechanization, significant share in total variable costs of silage maize production have the costs of mineral fertilizers, that ranges from 15,42% (in 2017., when only KAN was used) to 39,37% (in 2015.).

Besides height of yields, for animal nutrition its content is also important, as needs of domestic animals could be properly satisfied. Influence of fertilization to silage maize are observed by Manojlović and Marijanušić (2016). They conclude that during each vegetation according to fact that silage maize produce high volume of biomass rich with mineral elements, production requires increased quantity of various minerals (macro and micro elements) that are injected into the land by appliance of certain mineral fertilizers.

Costs of pesticides have not differ significantly, as in terms of used preparations, as in terms of their total value (from 17,39 to 35,85 EUR/ha).

Within the structure of the costs of machine operations (Table 6.), the most significant are silage preparation (from 37,65% to 47,24%) and transport (from 23,88 to 29,15%).

It is important to note that besides mentioned costs, it has been also added costs of transportation, preparation of silage (wading) and purchase of bacterial inoculants often used in practice. Costs of transport and wading are not indicated because their amount oscillates according to distance between the parcel and silo, type and capacity of aggregate used for transport, type of silo, quality of mass used for silage, etc.

Table 6: Costs of mechanization

Machine operation <sup>1</sup>	Year					
	2015.		2016.		2017.	
	RSD/ha	EUR/ha	RSD/ha	EUR/ha	RSD/ha	EUR/ha
Tillage	9.000,00	74,55	9.100,00	73,91	9.100,00	75,00
Transport of mineral fertilizers	1.400,00	11,60	750,00	6,09	1.500,00	12,36
Spreading of mineral fertilizers	1.350,00	11,18	1.400,00	11,37	1.450,00	11,95
Rototilling	2.400,00	19,88	2.530,00	20,55	2.550,00	21,02
Sowing	1.450,00	12,01	1.490,00	12,10	1.500,00	12,36
Pesticide spraying	2.400,00	19,88	2.470,00	20,06	2.500,00	20,60
Between row cultivation	1.700,00	14,08	1.480,00	12,02	1.500,00	12,36
Silage preparation	11.900,00	98,57	12.000,00	97,47	18.000,00	148,34
<b>Total</b>	<b>31.600,00</b>	<b>261,74</b>	<b>31.220,00</b>	<b>253,57</b>	<b>38.100,00</b>	<b>313,99</b>

Source: author's calculation according to ZSV, 2013.

Note: <sup>1</sup> As average price of diesel it is assumed the price of 135 RSD/l in 2015., or 140 RSD/l in 2016. and 2017.

Besides contribution margin, critical values of production are also calculated: critical price, critical yield and critical variable costs (Table 7.). Mentioned indicators are prepared for all analysed years. The lowest critical price and the highest critical variable costs are recorded in 2016., while the highest critical price and the lowest variable costs are recorded in 2017.

Table 7: Critical values in silage maize production

Description	RSD(kg)/ha	EUR(kg)/ha
<b>2015.</b>		
Expected yield (OP)	35.000,00	289,90
Expected price (OC)	5,00	0,04
Subsidy (p)	12.000,00	99,40
Variable costs (VT)	73.150,00	605,90
Critical price: $KC = (VT - p) / OP$	1,75	0,01
Critical yield: $KP = (VT - p) / OC$	12.230,00	101,30
Critical variable costs: $KVT = (OP \times OC) + p$	187.000,00	1.548,91
<b>2016.</b>		
Expected yield (OP)	45.000,00	365,50
Expected price (OC)	5,00	0,04
Subsidy (p)	4.000,00	32,49
Variable costs (VT)	74.815,00	607,66
Critical price: $KC = (VT - p) / OP$	1,57	0,01
Critical yield: $KP = (VT - p) / OC$	14.163,00	115,03
Critical variable costs: $KVT = (OP \times OC) + p$	229.000,00	1.859,97

<b>2017.</b>		
<b>Expected yield (OP)</b>	35.000,00	288,45
<b>Expected price (OC)</b>	5,00	0,04
<b>Subsidy (p)</b>	2.000,00	16,48
<b>Variable costs (VT)</b>	62.270,00	513,19
<b>Critical price: <math>KC = (VT - p) / OP</math></b>	1,72	0,01
<b>Critical yield: <math>KP = (VT - p) / OC</math></b>	12.054,00	99,34
<b>Critical variable costs: <math>KVT = (OP \times OC) + p</math></b>	177.000,00	1.458,71

Achieved yields, or produced quantity of silage maize has significant impact on the value of gained contribution margin. For this reason, it was prepared the sensitive analysis of contribution margin change due to fall in yield or price of final product (Table 8.).

*Table 8: Change of contribution margin in silage maize production according to fall of crop yield or price*

<b>Fall of yield or price of crop (%)</b>	<b>Change of contribution margin (RSD/ha)</b>	<b>Change of contribution margin (EUR/ha)</b>
<b>2015.</b>		
10,00	96.350,00	798,06
20,00	78.850,00	653,11
30,00	61.350,00	508,16
40,00	43.850,00	363,21
50,00	26.350,00	218,26
60,00	8.850,00	73,30
<b>2016.</b>		
10,00	131.685,00	1.069,57
20,00	109.185,00	886,82
30,00	86.685,00	704,07
40,00	64.185,00	521,32
50,00	41.685,00	338,57
60,00	19.185,00	155,82
<b>2017.</b>		
10,00	97.230,00	801,30
20,00	79.730,00	657,08
30,00	62.230,00	512,86
40,00	44.730,00	368,63
50,00	27.230,00	224,41
60,00	9.730,00	80,19

Although the height of the contribution margin over the years show pronounced variations, the sensitivity of the contribution margin to the fall of yield or price of product in all analysed years is relatively equalized, the margin equals to zero at yields or price reducing for 65,05 (in 2015.) to 68,52% (in 2016.).



## Conclusion

Areas and yields in silage maize production in the Republic of Serbia within the period 2008-2017. have been showed expressed oscillations, where the lowest yield was achieved in 2012. in conditions of extremely dry year. Analysing the production of silage maize in the Mačva Region for the period 2015-2017., there are achieved positive contribution margins. The best result was gained in 2016. (154,185.00 RSD) as the result of achievement of the highest yields in silage maize production (45 tons). In the structure of variable costs, the largest share has the costs of mechanization, whose share in all observed years were over 40%. By the method of sensitive analysis, it was determined that contribution margin is equalling to zero if yield or a price are decreasing for around 65%.

## References

- Bošnjak, D. & Rodić, V. (2010). Komparativna analiza troškova proizvodnje osnovnih ratarskih useva u Vojvodini. *Ekonomika poljoprivrede*, 57(2), 233-243.
- Gulan, B. (2012). Elementarna nepogoda ili nova stvarnost: Suša će prepоловити prinose. *Korak*, 12(73), 28-37.
- Ivanović, L. & Jeločnik, M. (2016). Uputstvo i model za izračunavanje marže pokrića na poljoprivrednim gazdinstvima. In Subić, J., Janković, S., Vasiljević, Z. & Lukić, M. (Eds.), *Unapređenje finansijskih znanja i evidencija na poljoprivrednim gazdinstvima u Republici Srbiji* (145-160), Beograd, Srbija: Institut za ekonomiku poljoprivrede.
- Jeločnik (2017). *Ekonomski instrumenti za upravljanje klimatskim rizicima u ratarskoj proizvodnji Republike Srbije*. Nепубликована докторска дисертација, Novi Sad, Srbija: Poljoprivredni fakultet.
- Jeločnik, M., Bekić, B. & Subić, J. (2013). Marža pokrića u mobilnom пчеларенју на територији града Панчева. *Ekonomika*, 59 (2), 73-82.
- Manojlović, M. & Marijanušić, K. (2016). Uticaj đubrenja на прinos i квалитет крме лучерке i крмнog кукуруза. *Letopis naučnih radova*, 40 (1), 28-39.
- MPŠV (2016). *Pravilnik о načinu оstvarivanja prava на оsnovne podsticaje u biljnoj proizvodnji i obrascu zahteva за оstvarivanje tih podsticaja*. Službeni glasnik Republike Srbije, br. 9/16.
- Munćan, P. & Živković, D. (2014). *Menadžment ratarske proizvodnje*. Beograd, Srbija: Poljoprivredni fakultet.
- Nastić, L., Jeločnik, M. & Subić, J. (2014). Analysis of calla lily and cucumber production in greenhouse. *Ekonomika*, 60 (4), 209-217.
- Orović, D. (2017). *Optimizacija poljoprivredne proizvodnje на породичним газдинствима u Topličком округу*. Nепубликована докторска дисертација, Beograd, Srbija: Poljoprivredni fakultet.
- Radosavljević, M., Jovanović, R. & Vančetović, J. (2005). Kvalitet зrna i mogućnosti коришћења ZP хибрида кукуруза. *PTEP* 9(1-2), 12-14.

- RPKNS (2017). Navodnjavamo jedva tri odsto njiva, Regionalna privredna komora Novi Sad (RPKNS), web portal RPKNS: <http://rpkns.com/navodnjavamo-jedva-tri-odsto-njiva/>
- Sekulić, G., Dimović, D., Kalmar Krnajski Jović, Z. & Todorović, N. (2012). Climate vulnerability assessment: Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia: Environmental improvement center: WWF for nature, web link: [http://awsassets.panda.org/downloads/cva\\_srbija\\_english.pdf](http://awsassets.panda.org/downloads/cva_srbija_english.pdf)
- Šimunić, I., Pandžić, K., Ivančan Picek, Branka, Bogunović, M. & Husnjak, S. (2007). Analiza manjka vode za razne biljne kulture. Agronomski glasnik, 69(3), 167-177.
- SORS (2018). Production indicators of silage maize, data base of the Statistical Office of the Republic of Serbia (SORS), Belgrade, Serbia.
- Subić, J., Ivanović, L. & Jeločnik, M. (2010). Uticaj podsticaja na pokrice varijabilnih troškova u proizvodnji ratarskih useva. Zbornik naučnih radova Instituta PKB Agroekonomik, 16(1-2), 251-264.
- Subić, J. & Jeločnik, M. (2016). Economic effects of new technologies application in vegetable production. In Subić, J., Tomić, D., Lovre, K. & Ševarlić, M. (Eds.), Emerging technologies and the development of agriculture (pp. 15-35). Belgrade, Serbia: SAAE.
- Terzić, D., Radosavljević, M., Milašinović Šeremešić, M., Pajić, Z. & Todorović, G. (2012). ZP Hibridi kukuruza kao sirovina za proizvodnju silaže. Selekcija i semenarstvo, 18(2), 61-69.
- Živić, M., Paunović, A., Madić, M., Knežević, D., Jelić, M. & Bokan, N. (2016). Analiza proizvodnje kukuruza na području smedereva u periodu 2013-2015. godine. *XXI Savetovanje o biotehnologiji*, vol. 21(23), (117-122), Čačak, Srbija: Agronomski fakultet.
- ZSV (2013). Cenovnik mašinskih usluga u poljoprivredi: 2013. Novi Sad, Srbija: Zadrugni savez Vojvodine.